Domald Towne	_	FORWER
Name LOVELOCK CORRECTIONAL C	ENTER FI	LED SERVED ON SERVED ON COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD
P.O. Box 359		
Lovelock, Nv. 89419-039	59	ACT -7 2007
Address		CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
42307	BY:	DISTRICT OF NEVADA DEPUTY
Prison Number	•	
UNIT	ED STATES DISTRICT	COURT
	DISTRICT OF NEVAL	DA
Donald Towne Plaintiff.)	
r iamum,)	
vs.) 3.07-c	v-00349
william Donat)	
Howard Skulnick.	(CIVII	RIGHTS COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO
tnita Habberfield.)	42 U.S.C. § 1983
Ronda Larsen	·	•
) 20	RY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendant(s).	,	
•	A. JURISDICTION	
1) This complaint alleges that the	ne civil rights of Plaintiff,	
		(print Plaintiff's name)
who presently resides at $\frac{P}{P}$	i.O. Box 359 ailing address or place of	confinement)
•	~	pals which were directed against
		ter on the following dates
	ity where violation occur	red)
3/21/06 (Count I)	3/21/06 (Count II)	and 3/21/06
(Comit 1)	(Comit II)	(Count III)

Make a copy of this page to provide the below information if you are naming more that five (5) defendants

2) Defendant William Donat resides at Nevada State Prison
(full name of first defendant) (address of first defendant)
and is employed as warden. This defendant is sued in his/her
(defendant's position and title, if any)
under color of law: Employeed for the Newada Department of
Corrections during this action
3
3) Defendant Howard Skulnick resides at Carson City
(full name of first defendant) (address of first defendant)
and is employed as N.D.O.C. Director. This defendant is sued in his/her
(defendant's position and title, if any) X individual X official capacity. (Check one or both). Explain how this defendant was acting
······································
under color of law: Employeed for the Nevada Department of
Corrections during this action.
•
4) Defendant Anita Habberfreld resides at Camon City
(full name of first defendant) (address of first defendant)
and is employed as <u>laseworker</u> , <u>N.S.P.</u> . This defendant is sued in his/her
(defendant's position and title, if any)
individual / official capacity. (Check one or both). Explain how this defendant was acting
under color of law: Employeed for the State of Neugla during
this action.
1.113 (1101)
5) Defendant Ronda Larson resides at Carson City
(full name of first defendant) (address of first defendant)
and is employed as Unknown. This defendant is sued in his/her
(defendant's position and title, if any) individual in
under color of law: Employeed for the state of Devada during
this action.

6) Defendant	resides at
(full name of first defendant)	(address of first defendant)
and is employed as	This defendant is sued in his/her
(defendant's position and tit individual official capacity. (Check one under color of law:	le, if any) or both). Explain how this defendant was acting
7) Jurisdiction is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 13 assert jurisdiction under different or additional s	statutes, list them below.
B. NATURE OF 1) Briefly state the background of your case.	THE CASE
Plaintiff is incarrerated	in the Nevada Department
of Corrections, presently at the	Lovelack Correctional
Center. Plaintiff's religion is L	Niccan. As such many of
the books planntiff needs to an	
beliefs only come in "hard	bound" format. The
Nevada Department of Correct	<u> </u>
that will only allow hardto	
softbound books into the	
	•

C. CAUSE OF ACTION

COUNT I

The following civil right has been violated: First Amendment
Free speech
Supporting Facts: [Include all facts you consider important. State the facts clearly,
in your own words, and without citing legal authority or argument. Be sure you
describe exactly what each specific defendant (by name) did to violate your rights].
Due to the Neurada Department of Corrections policy, A.R.
750, not Allowing hardbound books, I am being denied my First Amendment right to Free speech and
and freedom of expression.
William Donat refused to allow a change in this
policy at Nevada State prison through the gravance
Procedure.
Howard Skulmak 15 the N.D.O.C. director who guthorized
Airis to be enforced within each institution.
Anita Haberfield refused to correct this violation
in the informal level of the grisvance procedure
Ronda Larsen refused to correct this violation
in the second level of the grievance procedure
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
·

COUNT II

The following civil right has been violated: <u>first Amendment Right</u>
to free exercise of religion.
Supporting Facts: [Include all facts you consider important. State the facts clearly,
in your own words, and without citing legal authority or argument. Be sure you
describe exactly what each specific defendant (by name) did to violate your rights].
Plaintiff as a wiccan, has specific religious text that
is only published in hardbound form. The N.D.O.C.'s
policy which only allows softbound books makes it
impossible for plaintiff to engage in the reading and
study of his sacred religious text.
Defendant William Donal refused to cornect this
violation in the informal level of the gravance
procedure.
Defendant Howard Skulmick as N.D.O.C. Director
establishes these A.R.s to be enforced
Desendant Anita Habberfield refused to come t
this violation in the informal level of the Gregorie
racedure.
Defendant Ronda Larson refused to correct this
issue in the Second Tenel of the grievance
1350e III I'VE BEEDING PETET OF THE GITENGITEE
procedure.

COUNT III

The following civil right has been violated: 14th Amendment
due-process
Supporting Facts: [Include all facts you consider important. State the facts clearly, in your own words, and without citing legal authority or argument. Be sure you describe exactly what each specific defendant (by name) did to violate your rights].
The N.D.O.C.'s policy of banning these books
Drive to their being remembed at the Institution
Prior to their being reviewed at the Institution (Hardbound books), does not allow them to be
reviewed on a case by case basis to see
whether ar not they are a threat to the
institution and unclairs plaintiffs due-process
rights.
Defendant William Donat refused to cornect this
violation in the First benef of the governoe
procedure.
Defendant Howard Skulnick approves A.R.S to be
enforced within the N.D.O.C.
Defendant Anda Habberfreld refused to correct this
violation in the Informal level of the arrange.
Defendant Ronda Larsen refused to correct this
Wolghan in the Second level of the Concurance.

D. PREVIOUS LAWSUITS AND ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF

	lave you filed other actions in state or federal courts involving the same or similar facts involved in this action?Yes X No. If your answer is "Yes", describe each lawsuif more than one, describe the others on an additional page following the below outline
a)	Defendants:
b)	Name of court and docket number:
c)	Disposition (for example, was the case dismissed, appealed or is it still pending?)
d)	Issues raised:
c)	Approximate date it was filed:
f)	Approximate date of disposition:
be	frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted?
thre pag	frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted? Yes X No. If your answer is "Yes", describe each lawsuit. (If you have had more than e actions dismissed based on the above reasons, describe the others on an additional e following the below outline). South #1 dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim:
three pag Law a)	frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted? Yes X No. If your answer is "Yes", describe each lawsuit. (If you have had more than the actions dismissed based on the above reasons, describe the others on an additional the following the below outline). You're #1 dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim: Defendants:
three pag Law a)	frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted? Yes \(\sum \) No. If your answer is "Yes", describe each lawsuit. (If you have had more than the actions dismissed based on the above reasons, describe the others on an additional the following the below outline). You't #1 dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim: Defendants: Name of court and case number:
three pag Law a)	frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted? Yes X No. If your answer is "Yes", describe each lawsuit. (If you have had more than the actions dismissed based on the above reasons, describe the others on an additional the following the below outline). You't #1 dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim: Defendants: Name of court and case number: The case was dismissed because it was found to be (check one): frivolous
three pag Law a)	frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted? Yes \(\sum \) No. If your answer is "Yes", describe each lawsuit. (If you have had more than the actions dismissed based on the above reasons, describe the others on an additional the following the below outline). You't #1 dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim: Defendants: Name of court and case number:
three pag Law a) b) c)	re following the below outline). It is a state a claim: Defendants: Name of court and case number: The case was dismissed because it was found to be (check one): malicious or failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted.

	La	wsuit #2 dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim:
	a)	Defendants:
	b)	Name of court and case number:
	c)	The case was dismissed because it was found to be (check one): frivolous
		malicious or failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted
	d)	Issues raised:
	e)	Approximate date it was filed:
	f)	Approximate date of disposition:
	Law	suit #3 dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim:
	a).	Defendants:
	b)	Name of court and case number:
	c)	The case was dismissed because it was found to be (check one): frivolous
		malicious or failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted.
	d)	Issues raised:
	e)	Approximate date it was filed:
	f)	Approximate date of disposition:
3)	Have	you attempted to resolve the dispute stated in this action by seeking relief from the
	• -	administrative officials, e.g., have you exhausted available administrative grievance
•	proced	ures? Yes No. If your answer is "No", did you not attempt administrative
		pecause the dispute involved the validity of a: (1) disciplinary hearing; (2)
	state or	federal court decision; (3) state or federal law or regulation; (4) parole
	board d	lecision; or (5) other
	If your	answer is "Yes", provide the following information. Grievance Number
	Date an	d institution where grievance was filed 3/21/06 Nevada State Prison.

Response to grievance: books may	only be paperback
E. REQUEST FO	R RELIEF
I believe that I am entitled to the following re	.
Jajunctive relief to prevent	the desendant from
Continuing to violate my right	5,
Declatory relief that defend	lants violated my rights.
Montary relief in excess of	\$ 10,000.00
Punitative damages.	
heimburghent for legal cos	<i>b</i> ,
Compensatury damages in excess of	
I understand that a false statement or answer to the to penalties of perjury. I DECLARE UNDER I LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICAND CORRECT. See 28 U.S.C. § 1746 and 18 U.S.	ENALTY OF PERJURY UNDER THE A THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE
·	Thingold Of the Taylor
Name of person who prepared or helped	(Signature of Plaintiff)
orepare this complaint if not Plaintiff)	8-3-07
	(Date)
(Additional space if needed; identify	what is being continued)
	
<u></u>	
,	